

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,462	02/28/2002	Steven W. Trovinger	10015154	4989
7	2590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			HENDERSON, MARK T	
Intellectual Pro	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 2724			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			3722	

**DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
	10/084,462	TROVINGER, STEVEN W.	U
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark T Henderson	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	Anril 2004		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-13,15-19 and 21 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-13,15-19 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	., <del>[ ]</del>	(770 (40)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/26/04</u>.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P  6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/084,462

Art Unit:

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Faxing of Responses to Office Actions**

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, TC 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into TC 3700 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

1. Claims 1, 14, and 20 have been canceled. Claims 2-5, 10-13, 15-19 and 21 have been amended for further examination. After further examination of the claims, the examiner has withdrawn the previous allowable subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,462

Art Unit:

### **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 2-13, 15-19, and 21 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10/698,672. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both disclose a pivotable collecting device and a method of transferring folded sheet material comprising: a supporting edge for supporting a fold

Application/Control Number: 10/084,462

Art Unit:

of the folded sheet material; two supporting sides opposing one another; a means for pivoting the supporting edge about a first axis to receive the folded sheet such that each supporting side receives a different portion of the folded sheet material; a collecting drive for clamping the folded sheet material against the supporting side and advancing the sheet material along at least one side supporting side; wherein the collecting drive is rotatable.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

4. Claims 2, 5, and 21 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 21 and 22 of copending Application No. 10/084,460. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both disclose a pivotable collecting device which includes a supporting edge and two sides (saddle shape) a means for pivoting the supporting edge and sides to receive the folded sheet material; a collecting drive (transferring device) for clamping the folded sheet material against the supporting sides, wherein the drive rotates.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,462 Page 5

Art Unit:

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark T. Henderson whose telephone number is (703)305-0189. The examiner can be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM to 3:45 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, A. L. Wellington, can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax number for TC 3700 is (703)-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

MTH

July 10, 2004

GREGOBY VIDOVICH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700